Berry phase Geometry and topology in quantum mechanics give B.M. His besed on adiabatic wolution of Hamiltonian H(2), where It is positively to only trong p, but this mill for the concept to only trong p, but this mill for the miss to different guentum (instead of  $2\pi m \rightarrow$ <br>integer Q.H.F  $\rightarrow$  freehoused Q.H.F<br>- The parameter  $\lambda$  is verted plan  $x$  is some external parameter, like position of atoms in the unit all or eternal field .  $I$  we change  $\lambda$  slowly enough, me con derive how the eigenstates charge with <sup>X</sup> , provided that : - the states one non-depenente (unique) [ Can be sent merry - body eigenstates , not just single particle stoles ] If depeninsey be survern (p) we can perenalize the concept to orling P , let this mill for mise to different quantum ( instead of arm <sup>→</sup> 211  $\rho$  . M  $int_{i}M_{i}P\cdot M$  ) -<br> $int_{i}Q_{i}H_{i}F$   $\Rightarrow$  frechoral Q.H.F  $\qquad$ - the parameter x is varied slowly enough It hes to be slow enough so that the system is ever excited to the neighboring state. This mean that there hes to be <sup>a</sup>  $\int_{0}^{2\pi}$ in the excitation spectrum. This is therefore not valued for <u>metals</u>. Yn electronic stucture thane is a lot of level cromings at high symmetry points :  $J$ u Ka La rymmetry points : me med to treat the group of hands as a comon unit and orange <sup>e</sup> " smooth gouge " through the crossings . .

We vany paremeter 2 in H(2) but eventually we go back to the initial state (like 2=0...ZT In BZ, and ZT is the same point as O) If we go around in the phane space  $x_0 \rightarrow x_1 \rightarrow x_2 \rightarrow \rightarrow x_N = x_0$ , me<br>must arrive to the same wome funnction, but only up to a please IVD = c<sup>ite</sup> IVD (geometrie part of Mis Berry phone)  $H$  extiendre theorem is satisfied!  $H(x) |M(x)| = E_n(x) |M(x)|$ The state of the rystem is parametized by the ansatz  $|v+(t)|$  =  $C(t)$   $\frac{C}{C} \int_{0}^{t} E_m(t^1) dt^1$ eefoe<br>phore  $SE$  retisfied et  $(i\frac{Q}{2t} - H)|\psi(t)\rangle = O$ <br>each dime remember  $|M\rangle = |M(H)\rangle$  and  $C(f)$  and  $E_{m}(H)_{1}$  ...  $i(\stackrel{\circ}{\mathcal{C}}U|M) - iE_m(A)CUM > + C U \mid \frac{\partial M}{\partial G}>> - H \underset{\text{pounds}}{C}U|M > = 0$  $i\overset{\bullet}{C}U(m) + E_m(4)$   $CU/M > + iCU/\frac{dM}{dt} > -cUE_m|M > = 0$  $\langle M |$   $\Big|$   $\sim$   $|M \rangle$  +  $\sim$   $\frac{dM}{dA}$   $>$  = 0  $C + C < M / \frac{dM}{dt}$  ) = 0 = >  $C = C^{i}$   $\downarrow$   $dH$  =  $C^{i}$ Arith  $\phi(\theta) = i \int \langle M(t^i) | \frac{\partial M(t^i)}{\partial t^i} \rangle dt^i$  $\ell_{\text{max}} + \lfloor M(\text{H}) \rangle = \lfloor M(\text{X}(\text{H})) \rfloor$  lunce  $|\frac{GM}{dt}\rangle = |\frac{GM}{dx}\rangle \frac{d\lambda}{dt}$  Our  $\langle M(l')| \frac{GM(l')}{dt'}\rangle = \langle M(N)|\frac{GM}{dx}\rangle \lambda$ Hence  $\phi(e) = \frac{\lambda^{(4)}}{\lambda} \int \frac{\lambda^{(4)}}{\lambda^{(4)}} dx$ Of depends only on 2 and not as type of fine instantion (deterts of t. evolution) We conclude that  $|\psi(t)\rangle = e^{i\phi(\lambda(t))}e^{-i\int_{0}^{t}E_{\mu}(t^{\prime})dt^{\prime}}|_{M^{(H)}}$ 

$$
\mathcal{I}f \times_{f_{i}} f
$$
 when  $\varphi = i \oint \langle M(x) | \frac{\partial M}{\partial x} \rangle d\lambda$   
\nwhere *ed* identity *evolution gives:*  $|\psi(t)\rangle = e^{i \oint (x \cdot \theta)} e^{-i \int_{0}^{t} E_{\mu}(t) dt'}$  |  $M(t)$ 

Again define Berg connection : 
$$
A(x) = \langle M(x) | i \frac{\partial M(x)}{\partial x} \rangle
$$
  
\nBerry phase :  $\phi = \sum_{\sigma} \int dx_{\sigma} A(x) \qquad (k^2 \phi A d\theta)$   
\nBerry complete :  $\mathcal{D}^{\sigma} = (\frac{\partial}{\partial r} A'(x) - \frac{\partial}{\partial r} A''(x)) \qquad (k^2 \phi A d\theta)$   
\n $\mathcal{M}^{\sigma} = i \left[ \frac{\partial}{\partial r} (x_{\mu_1} \frac{\partial}{\partial x_{\mu_2}} m) - \frac{\partial}{\partial x} (x_{\mu_1} \frac{\partial}{\partial x_{\mu_3}} m) \right]$   
\n $= i \left( \frac{\partial M}{\partial x} | \frac{\partial M}{\partial x} \rangle - \frac{\partial M}{\partial x} | \frac{\partial M}{\partial x_{\mu}} \rangle \right)$   
\n $\mathcal{L}^{\sigma} = -2 \int_{\mu_1} \langle \frac{\partial M}{\partial x} | \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \rangle$   
\nGeorge fromformation is freedom in choosing in  $\mathcal{M}^{\sigma} = \int_{\mathcal{M}} \langle x_{\mu_1} \rangle$   
\nabove:  $|\tilde{M}(x_0) \rangle = \tilde{E}^{i\beta} \frac{\partial M}{\partial x} | M(x_0) \rangle$  and require that  $\frac{\partial}{\partial (x_i)} - \frac{\partial (x_i - \rho)}{\partial x_i} = 2\pi M$   
\n $\mathcal{M}^{\sigma} = \lambda$ ; and the system goes around a closed loop  
\n $\lambda = 0$ 

Then 
$$
\widetilde{A}^{(n)}(x) = A^{(n)}(x) + \frac{d\widetilde{B}}{dx}
$$
  $\widetilde{A}^{n}(\widetilde{A}^{n})$   
\n $\widetilde{\Phi} = \oint \widetilde{A}(x) dx + \mathcal{B}(x=1) - \mathcal{B}(x=0) = \oint +2\pi M$   $\widetilde{\Phi}$  is unique up to 2 point  
\n $\widetilde{D}^{(n)} = \frac{\widetilde{A}^{(n)}}{\sum_{k=1}^{N} - \frac{\widetilde{A}^{(n)}}{\sum_{k=1}^{N}} = \mathcal{L}^{(n)} + \frac{\mathcal{L}^{2}\mathcal{B}}{\mathcal{A}^{(n)}(x)\sum_{k=1}^{N} - \frac{\mathcal{B}^{2}X}{\mathcal{A}^{2}x^{2}}} = \mathcal{L}^{(n)} \qquad \mathcal{L}^{n}(\widetilde{A}^{n})$   
\n $\mathcal{L}^{n}(\widetilde{A}^{n}) = \mathcal{L}^{n}(\widetilde{A}^{n})$   
\n $\mathcal{L}^{n}(\widetilde{A}$ 

Cern theorem says  $\frac{1}{2\pi}\int\int J^{IV}d\lambda_{\mu}d\lambda_{\nu} = C$  e Yurkeyer

Consider 2D speace 2, and  $\lambda_2$ . We see that  $\phi = \int dx_1 A^l(x) + \int dx_2 A^2(x)$ and then  $\Omega = \frac{1}{2x} A^2 - \frac{1}{2x} A' = (\overrightarrow{\nabla} \times \overrightarrow{A})_3$ Stoles theorem says  $\int \int_{L}^{L} dx_{1} dx_{2} = \int (\vec{\nabla} \times \vec{A})_{3} dx_{1} = \oint \vec{A} \cdot d\vec{l} = \oint d\vec{l} - \oint (i) = 2\pi C$ but this is the<br>preme state, huna 2Tra dones/<br>poth

Other forms of Chern theorem:

$$
-2\iint_{\mathbb{R}}\psi_{\mathbf{M}}\left\langle \frac{\partial M}{\partial \lambda_{\mathbf{J}}}|\frac{\partial M}{\partial \lambda_{\mathbf{J}}}\right\rangle d\lambda_{\mathbf{J}}d\lambda_{\mathbf{V}} = 2\overline{\mathbf{V}}\mathbf{C}
$$



Figure 3.5 Possible behaviors of the function  $\beta(\lambda)$  defining a gauge transformation through Eq.  $(3.15)$ .  $(a-b)$  Conventional plots of "progressive"  $(a)$ and "radical" (b) gauge transformations, for which  $\beta$  returns to itself or is shifted by a multiple of  $2\pi$  at the end of the loop, respectively. Shaded lines show  $2\pi$ -shifted periodic images. (c-d) Same as (a-b) but plotted on the surface of a cylinder to emphasize the nontrivial winding of the radical gauge transformation in (b) and (d).

use formula! calcutations Proctical



Why is this the some?  $\left\{ \mathcal{M}_{\lambda} | \mathcal{M}_{\lambda + \delta \lambda} \right\} = \left\langle \mathcal{M}_{\lambda} | \mathcal{M}_{\lambda} + \frac{\partial \mathcal{M}_{\lambda}}{\partial \lambda} \delta \lambda + - \right\rangle = \left\{ 1 + \left\langle \mathcal{M}_{\lambda} | \frac{\partial \mathcal{M}}{\partial \lambda} \right\rangle \delta \lambda \right\}$  $\ln \big( \mathcal{M}_{\mathsf{x}} | \mathcal{M}_{\mathsf{x} \star \mathsf{S} \star} \big)$  a  $\ln \big( 1 + \mathcal{S} \times \mathcal{M}_{\mathsf{x}} | \frac{\mathfrak{I} \mathcal{U}}{\mathfrak{I} \times} \big) \big)$  a  $\big| \langle \mathcal{M} | \frac{\mathfrak{I} \mathcal{M}}{\mathfrak{I} \times} \big\rangle$  $2Re \langle \mu | \frac{\partial \mu}{\partial \lambda} \rangle = \langle \mu | \frac{\partial \mu}{\partial \lambda} \rangle + \langle \mu | \frac{\partial \mu}{\partial \lambda} \rangle^* = \langle \mu | \frac{\partial \mu}{\partial \lambda} \rangle + \langle \frac{\partial \mu}{\partial \lambda} | \mu \rangle = \frac{2}{\partial \lambda} \langle \mu | \mu \rangle = 0$ Note that: hence (MI SX) is purely inveginery and  $\phi = -\lim_{j=0} \ln \frac{1}{j} \langle \mu_{x_i} | \mu_{\lambda_{i+1}} \rangle = -\lim_{j \to \infty} \int \langle \mu | \frac{\partial \mu}{\partial x} \rangle \frac{d\lambda}{d\lambda} = \int d\lambda \langle \mu | \frac{\partial \mu}{\partial x} \rangle d\lambda$ Why do we use the discrete formule? Euvey eigenstate  $|u_{\lambda_1}\rangle$  les an orbitrary place  $|\widetilde{u}_{\lambda_1}\rangle$  =  $e^{i\frac{u_{\lambda_1}}{2}}|u_{\lambda_1}\rangle$  and uning numerically determinent eigenvectors IM. i) du pliare un'el nuver be a misoth function of 2. But adiabatie théorem requires misothien. the disonite formule is gauge free, become each  $W_{\lambda i}$  oppears exactly turia, once es bre, and once es set:  $\phi = -\lim_{\leftarrow} \ln(\langle \mu_{\chi_0} | \mu_{\chi_1} \rangle \langle \mu_{\chi_1} | \mu_{\chi_2} \rangle \langle \mu_{\chi_2} | \cdots \cdots \langle \mu_{\chi_{N-1}} | \mu_{\chi_0} \rangle )$ we need to moe Mrs Mine plus de la 1/2/3 con els valle then Mr.



Figure 3.2 Triangular molecule going though a sequence of distortions in which first the bottom, then the upper-right, then the upper-left bond is the shortest and strongest of the three. The configurations in panels (a) and (d), representing the beginning and end of the loop, are identical.

and (d), representing the beginning and end of the loop, are identical.  
\n
$$
4d'_{3}
$$
  $mpps_{2}$   $4d^{2}$   
\n $4e^{2\pi i/3}$   
\n $4e^{-\frac{1}{12}(\frac{1}{l})}\mathcal{M}_{0}=\frac{1}{12}(\frac{1}{l})\mathcal{M}_{0}=\frac{1}{12}(\frac{1}{e^{2\pi i/3}})$   
\n $4e^{-\frac{1}{12}(\frac{1}{e^{4\pi i/3}})}\mathcal{M}_{0}=\mathcal{M}_{0}$   
\n $4e^{-\frac{1}{12}(\frac{1}{e^{4\pi i/3}})}\mathcal{M}_{0}=\mathcal{M}_{0}$ 

ヽ

∋