

## Some notes on LAPW

Kristjan Haule

*Department of Physics, Rutgers University, Piscataway, NJ 08854, USA*

(Dated: July 18, 2014)

PACS numbers: 71.27.+a, 71.30.+h

The LAPW basis takes the form:

$$\chi_{\mathbf{k}+\mathbf{K}}(\mathbf{r}) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{V}} e^{i(\mathbf{k}+\mathbf{K})\mathbf{r}} = \frac{4\pi i^l}{\sqrt{V}} e^{i(\mathbf{k}+\mathbf{K})\mathbf{r}_\alpha} Y_{lm}^*(R(\hat{\mathbf{k}} + \hat{\mathbf{K}})) j_l(|\mathbf{k} + \mathbf{K}||\mathbf{r} - \mathbf{r}_\alpha|) Y_{lm}(R(\mathbf{r} - \mathbf{r}_\alpha)) \quad \textit{interstitial} \quad (1)$$

$$\chi_{\mathbf{k}+\mathbf{K}}(\mathbf{r}) = (a_{lm} u_l(|\mathbf{r} - \mathbf{r}_\alpha|) + b_{lm} \dot{u}_l(|\mathbf{r} - \mathbf{r}_\alpha|)) Y_{lm}(R(\hat{\mathbf{r}} - \hat{\mathbf{r}}_\alpha)) \quad \textit{MT - sphere} \quad (2)$$

The matching condition at the MT-sphere  $S$  gives

$$\begin{pmatrix} u_l(S) & \dot{u}_l(S) \\ \frac{d}{dr} u_l(S) & \frac{d}{dr} \dot{u}_l(S) \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} a_{lm} \\ b_{lm} \end{pmatrix} = \frac{4\pi i^l}{\sqrt{V}} e^{i(\mathbf{k}+\mathbf{K})\mathbf{r}_\alpha} Y_{lm}^*(R(\hat{\mathbf{k}} + \hat{\mathbf{K}})) \begin{pmatrix} j_l(|\mathbf{k} + \mathbf{K}|S) \\ \frac{d}{dr} j_l(|\mathbf{k} + \mathbf{K}|S) \end{pmatrix} \quad (3)$$

with the solution

$$\begin{pmatrix} a_{lm} \\ b_{lm} \end{pmatrix} = \frac{4\pi i^l}{\sqrt{V}} e^{i(\mathbf{k}+\mathbf{K})\mathbf{r}_\alpha} Y_{lm}^*(R(\hat{\mathbf{k}} + \hat{\mathbf{K}})) \begin{pmatrix} \frac{d}{dr} \dot{u}_l(S) & -\dot{u}_l(S) \\ -\frac{d}{dr} u_l(S) & u_l(S) \end{pmatrix} \frac{1}{u_l(S) \frac{d}{dr} \dot{u}_l(S) - \dot{u}_l(S) \frac{d}{dr} u_l(S)} \begin{pmatrix} j_l(|\mathbf{k} + \mathbf{K}|S) \\ \frac{d}{dr} j_l(|\mathbf{k} + \mathbf{K}|S) \end{pmatrix} \quad (4)$$

The two solutions satisfy the following equations

$$\left( -\frac{d^2}{dr^2} + \frac{l(l+1)}{r^2} + V_{KS}(r) - \varepsilon \right) r u_l(r) = 0 \quad (5)$$

$$\left( -\frac{d^2}{dr^2} + \frac{l(l+1)}{r^2} + V_{KS}(r) - \varepsilon \right) r \dot{u}_l(r) = r u_l(r) \quad (6)$$

We multiply the first equation by  $r \dot{u}_l(r)$  and the second by  $r u_l(r)$  to obtain

$$\int_0^S dr \left\{ r \dot{u}_l(r) \left( -\frac{d^2}{dr^2} \right) r u_l(r) - r u_l(r) \left( -\frac{d^2}{dr^2} \right) r \dot{u}_l(r) \right\} = - \int_0^S dr r^2 u_l(r) \dot{u}_l(r) \quad (7)$$

Integration by parts gives

$$\left[ -r \dot{u}_l(r) \frac{d}{dr} (r u_l(r)) + r u_l(r) \frac{d}{dr} (r \dot{u}_l(r)) \right]_0^S = -1 \quad (8)$$

which finally leads to

$$\dot{u}_l(S) \frac{d}{dr} u_l(S) - u_l(S) \frac{d}{dr} \dot{u}_l(S) = \frac{1}{S^2} \quad (9)$$

We can then simplify the solution for  $a_{lm}$  and  $b_{lm}$  to

$$\begin{pmatrix} a_{lm} \\ b_{lm} \end{pmatrix} = \frac{4\pi i^l}{S^2 \sqrt{V}} e^{i(\mathbf{k}+\mathbf{K})\mathbf{r}_\alpha} Y_{lm}^*(R(\hat{\mathbf{k}} + \hat{\mathbf{K}})) \begin{pmatrix} \dot{u}_l(S) \frac{d}{dr} j_l(|\mathbf{k} + \mathbf{K}|S) - \frac{d}{dr} \dot{u}_l(S) j_l(|\mathbf{k} + \mathbf{K}|S) \\ \frac{d}{dr} u_l(S) j_l(|\mathbf{k} + \mathbf{K}|S) - u_l(S) \frac{d}{dr} j_l(|\mathbf{k} + \mathbf{K}|S) \end{pmatrix} \quad (10)$$

This equation is implemented in Wien2k, and also in both dmft1 and dmft2 steps.

To compute the projector, we need the overlap between a localized function  $\phi(r) Y_L(\mathbf{r})$ , and Kohn-Sham states

$$\mathcal{U}_{i,m}^{\mathbf{k},\mathbf{r}_\alpha} = \langle \phi_l Y_{lm} | \psi_{\mathbf{k}i} \rangle = \sum_{\mathbf{K}} C_{i\mathbf{K}}^{\mathbf{k}} \langle \phi_l(|\mathbf{r} - \mathbf{r}_\alpha|) Y_{lm}(R(\hat{\mathbf{r}} - \hat{\mathbf{r}}_\alpha)) | \chi_{\mathbf{k}+\mathbf{K}}(\mathbf{r}) \rangle \quad (11)$$

If function  $\phi(r)$  extends sufficiently outside its MT-sphere, the overlap  $\mathcal{U}_{i,m}^{\mathbf{k},\mathbf{r}_\alpha}$  will have non-zero contribution from all other MT-spheres. However, we will use only the envelope function outside its center sphere, because the increased charge in the neighboring spheres really should not be counted here as charge contribution to  $\phi(r)$  function.

Therefore we have only two contributions. Inside MT-sphere we have

$$\langle \phi_l(|\mathbf{r} - \mathbf{r}_\alpha|) Y_{lm}(\hat{\mathbf{r}} - \hat{\mathbf{r}}_\alpha) | \chi_{\mathbf{k}+\mathbf{K}}(\mathbf{r}) \rangle = \sum_{\kappa} a_{lm}^{\kappa} \int_0^S \phi(r) u_l^{\kappa}(r) r^2 dr \quad (12)$$

and outside MT-sphere we get

$$\langle \phi_l(|\mathbf{r} - \mathbf{r}_\alpha|) Y_{lm}(R(\hat{\mathbf{r}} - \hat{\mathbf{r}}_\alpha)) | \chi_{\mathbf{k}+\mathbf{K}}(\mathbf{r}) \rangle = \frac{4\pi i^l}{\sqrt{V}} e^{i(\mathbf{k}+\mathbf{K})\mathbf{r}_\alpha} Y_{lm}^*(R(\hat{\mathbf{k}} + \hat{\mathbf{K}})) \int_S^{S_2} \phi_l(r) j_l(|\mathbf{k} + \mathbf{K}|r) r^2 dr \quad (13)$$

## I. FREE ENERGY AND TOTAL ENERGY

The equation for the total energy is

$$E = \text{Tr}(H_0 G) + \frac{1}{2} \text{Tr}(\Sigma G) - \Phi^{DC}[n_{loc}] + \Phi^H[\rho] + \Phi^{xc}[\rho] \quad (14)$$

where

$$H_0 = -\nabla^2 + \delta(\mathbf{r} - \mathbf{r}') V_{ext}(\mathbf{r})$$

We typically evaluate it in the following way

$$E = \text{Tr}((-\nabla^2 + V_{ext} + V_H + V_{xc})G) + \frac{1}{2} \text{Tr}(\Sigma G) - \Phi^{DC}[\rho_{loc}] - \text{Tr}((V_H + V_{xc})\rho) + \Phi^H[\rho] + \Phi^{xc}[\rho] \quad (15)$$

Namely, we use the Green's function of the solid to evaluate:

$$E_1 = \text{Tr}((-\nabla^2 + V_{ext} + V_H + V_{xc})G) - \text{Tr}((V_H + V_{xc})\rho) + \Phi^H[\rho] + \Phi^{xc}[\rho] \quad (16)$$

and the impurity to evaluate

$$E_2 = \frac{1}{2} \text{Tr}(\Sigma_{imp} G_{imp}) - \Phi^{DC}[\rho_{imp}] \quad (17)$$

Notice that  $\frac{1}{2} \text{Tr}(\Sigma_{imp} G_{imp})$  is not evaluated as a Matsubara sum, but we rather compute it from probabilities of atomic states, i.e.,

$$\frac{1}{2} \text{Tr}(\Sigma_{imp} G_{imp}) = \sum_m P_m E_m - \sum_{\alpha} \varepsilon_{imp}^{\alpha} n_{imp}^{\alpha} \quad (18)$$

The free energy functional is

$$\Gamma[G] = \text{Tr} \log G - \text{Tr} \log((G_0^{-1} - G^{-1})G) + \Phi^H[\rho] + \Phi^{xc}[\rho] + \Phi^{DMFT}[G_{loc}] - \Phi^{DC}[\rho_{loc}] \quad (19)$$

hence stationarity gives

$$G^{-1} - G_0^{-1} + V_H + V_{xc} + \Sigma_{DMFT} - V_{dc} = 0 \quad (20)$$

and hence

$$F = \text{Tr} \log G - \text{Tr}(\Sigma G) + \text{Tr}(V_{dc} \rho_{loc}) + \Phi^{DMFT}[G_{loc}] - \Phi^{DC}[\rho_{loc}] - \text{Tr}((V_H + V_{xc})\rho) + \Phi^H[\rho] + \Phi^{xc}[\rho] \quad (21)$$

Since  $F_{imp}$  contains  $\Phi^{DMFT}$ , i.e.,

$$F_{imp} = \text{Tr} \log G_{imp} - \text{Tr}(\Sigma_{imp} G_{imp}) + \Phi^{DMFT}[G_{imp}] \quad (22)$$

we can write

$$F = \text{Tr} \log(G) - \text{Tr} \log(G_{loc}) + F_{imp} + \text{Tr}(V_{dc} \rho_{loc}) - \Phi^{DC}[\rho_{loc}] - \text{Tr}((V_H + V_{xc})\rho) + \Phi^H[\rho] + \Phi^{xc}[\rho] \quad (23)$$

where

$$F_{imp} = E_{imp} - TS_{imp}$$

and

$$E_{imp} = \text{Tr}((\Delta + \varepsilon_{imp} - \omega_n \frac{\partial \Delta}{\partial \omega_n})G_{imp}) + \frac{1}{2}\text{Tr}(\Sigma_{imp}G_{imp}) - TS_{imp}$$

Hence

$$F + TS_{imp} = \text{Tr} \log(G) - \text{Tr} \log(G_{loc}) + \text{Tr}((\Delta + \varepsilon_{imp} - \omega_n \frac{\partial \Delta}{\partial \omega_n})G_{imp}) + \frac{1}{2}\text{Tr}(\Sigma_{imp}G_{imp}) + \text{Tr}(V_{dc}\rho_{loc}) - \Phi^{DC}[\rho_{loc}] \\ - \text{Tr}((V_H + V_{xc})\rho) + \Phi^H[\rho] + \Phi^{xc}[\rho] \quad (24)$$

which can also be cast into the form

$$F + TS_{imp} = \text{Tr} \log(G) - \text{Tr} \log(G_{loc}) + \text{Tr}((\Delta - \omega_n \frac{\partial \Delta}{\partial \omega_n} + \varepsilon_{imp} + V_{dc})G_{loc}) + \frac{1}{2}\text{Tr}(\Sigma_{imp}G_{imp}) - \Phi^{DC}[\rho_{imp}] \\ - \text{Tr}((V_H + V_{xc})\rho) + \Phi^H[\rho] + \Phi^{xc}[\rho] \quad (25)$$

We thus compute the following quantities with the Green's function of the solid:

$$F_1 = \text{Tr} \log(G) - \text{Tr} \log(G_{loc}) + \text{Tr}((\Delta - \omega_n \frac{\partial \Delta}{\partial \omega_n} + \varepsilon_{imp} + V_{dc})G_{loc}) - \text{Tr}((V_H + V_{xc})\rho) + \Phi^H[\rho] + \Phi^{xc}[\rho] \quad (26)$$

and the following with the impurity:

$$F_2 = \frac{1}{2}\text{Tr}(\Sigma_{imp}G_{imp}) - \Phi^{DC}[\rho_{imp}] - TS_{imp} \quad (27)$$

Notice that  $F_2$  is similar to  $E_2$  (except for the entropy term), hence  $E_{solid}$  and  $F_{solid}$  contain exactly the same Monte Carlo noise.

---